# Crystal structures and non-linear optical properties of cluster compounds $\left[\mathrm{MAu}_{2} \mathbf{S}_{4}\left(\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mo}$ or W$) \dagger$ 
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The compounds $\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\left(\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mol} 1\right.$ or W 2) were synthesized by reactions of $\left[\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{S} \mathrm{S}_{4}\right]$ ( $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{M} \mathrm{o}$ or W ), $\mathrm{HAuCl}_{4} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution. X -R ay crystallographic structure determinations show that the co-ordination of $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{W})$ is slightly distorted from tetrahedral and those of the Au are distorted from trigonal planar. High non-linear susceptibilities of these gold-containing clusters were also observed for the first time. Z-Scan data measured with 532 nm nanosecond laser pulses showed that effective third-order non-linearities $\alpha_{2}=7.9 \times 10^{-5}$ and $13 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~W}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and $\mathrm{n}_{2}=-8.0 \times 10^{-10}$ and $19 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~W}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, respectively, for a $0.64 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ solution of compound $\mathbf{1}$ and a $0.54 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ solution of $\mathbf{2}$.

The $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{W})-\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{Ag})-\mathrm{S}$ cluster compounds have been studied extensively in the past two decades, because of their relevance to biological systems and catalytic processes. ${ }^{1,2}$ Recently, we have noticed that they also exhibit very interesting non-linear optical (NLO) properties. For example, strong NLO behaviour has been reported in nest-shaped clusters [ $\left.\mathrm{NBu}^{\mathrm{n}}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{MOCu}_{3}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{OS}_{3}(\mathrm{NCS})_{3}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{NBu}_{4}{ }_{4}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{MoCu}_{3} \mathrm{OS}_{3} \mathrm{BrCl}_{2}\right]$, a supracageshaped cluster [ $\left.\mathrm{NBu}^{\mathrm{n}}{ }_{4}\right]_{4}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\left.\mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Cu}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{24}\right] \text {, and a twin nest-shaped }}\right.$ cluster $\left[\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right]_{4}\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}_{6} \mathrm{OS}_{6} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{4}\right]^{3-5}$ Butterfly-shaped clusters $\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{OS}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ ( $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mo}$ or $\mathrm{W}, \mathrm{n}=3$ or 4 ) and a half-open cage-shaped cluster $\left[\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right]_{3}\left[\mathrm{~W}(\mathrm{CuBr})_{3} \mathrm{OS}_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{Br})\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exhibit large NLO refraction. ${ }^{6,7}$ Cubane-like clusters $\left.\left[\mathrm{NBu}^{\mathrm{n}}\right]_{3}\right]^{-}$ [ $\left.\mathrm{M} \mathrm{M}^{\prime}{ }_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{4} \mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{X})\right]\left(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mo}\right.$ or $\mathrm{W}, \mathrm{M}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Cu}$ or $\mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}$ or I$)$ possess strong N LO absorption. ${ }^{8}$ A very large optical limiting effect has been observed in a hexagonal prism-shaped cluster $\left[\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{Ag}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{8}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]$, which is about ten times larger than that observed in $\mathrm{C}_{60}{ }^{9}$ In order to explore this field further, we have synthesized a series of new $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{W})$-Au-S cluster compounds. In this article we report the synthesis, characterization and NLO properties of gold-containing compounds with a linear structure, $\left.\left[\mathrm{MoAu} \mathrm{u}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}(\mathrm{AsPh})_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{WAu}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\left(\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$.

## Experimental

## M aterials

Compounds $\left[\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{MoS}_{4}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{WS}_{4}\right]$ were prepared according to a literature method. ${ }^{10}$ Other chemicals were of A R grade and used without further purification.

## Preparations

$\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{oAu}_{2} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{4}}\left(\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ 1. Triphenylarsine ( $120.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3931$ mmol) dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was slowly added to $\mathrm{HAuCl}_{4} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $81 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1966 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in absolute ethanol ( 5 $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ). The light yellow solution was stirred for 2 h and refrigerated at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The resulting colourless crystals were dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and $\left[\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{OS}_{4}\right](47.64 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.0983 mmol ) was added. A fter stirring for 1 h the red-black solution was filtered and $\mathrm{Pri} \mathrm{OH}\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added dropwise to

[^0]the top of the solution. The red crystals were obtained several days later (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 35.15 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.4$. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{Au}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{MoS}_{4}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 35.15 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.45 \%$ ). IR ( K Br pellet, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ in A sPh ${ }_{3}, 734.1 \mathrm{vs}, 689.3 \mathrm{vs} ; \mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P}, 614.6 \mathrm{w} ; \mathrm{M} \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{b}}$, 453.4vs.
$\left[W_{A u_{2}} \mathbf{S}_{4}\left(\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ 2. The synthetic method was similar to that used for compound $\mathbf{1},\left[\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{WS}_{4}\right]$ being used instead of [ $\left.\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{OS}_{4}\right]$. Yellow crystals were obtained (Found: C, 32.75; $\mathrm{H}, 2.32$. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4} \mathrm{~W}: \mathrm{C}, 32.8 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.3 \%$ ). IR ( K Br pellet, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ in $\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}, 737.5 \mathrm{vs}, 688.3 \mathrm{vs} ; \mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P}$, $519.5 w ; W-S_{b}, 477.3 v s, 442.2 v s, 407.0 w$.

## X-R ay crystallography

A red crystal of compound $\mathbf{1}$ was mounted in a glass capillary. All measurements were made on a Rigaku AFC6S diffractometer with graphite-monochromated $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{o-K} \alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=0.7107 \AA$ ). The lattice parameters shown in Table 1 were refined using 21 reflections in the range $9.4<\theta<12.7^{\circ}$. The data collection with $\omega-2 \theta$ scans between 3 and $25^{\circ}$ resulted in 6949 intensity values, 4591 with I $>1.50 \sigma$ (I) being used for the structure determination. The structure was solved by heavyatom Patterson methods ${ }^{11}$ and expanded using Fourier techniques. ${ }^{12}$ The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were included but not refined. The final cycle of full-matrix least-squares refinement converged with unweighted and weighted agreement factors $\mathrm{R}=0.0299$ and $R^{\prime}=0.0387$.
For compound 2, an orange crystal was mounted in a glass capillary for X-ray data collection. All measurements were made on a M ac Science M XC-18 diffractometer. The lattice parameters (Table 1) were refined using 39 reflections in the range $10.0<\theta<15.0^{\circ}$. The data collection with $\omega$ - $2 \theta$ scans between 3 and $30^{\circ}$ resulted in 11491 intensity values, 7676 with I $>1.50 \sigma(I)$ being used for the structure determination. The structure was solved by direct methods ${ }^{13}$ and expanded using Fourier techniques. The refinement was based on F. A n empirical absorption correction using the program DIFABS ${ }^{14}$ was applied. The data were corrected for Lorentz-polarization effects, and the final $R=0.0638$ and $R^{\prime}=0.0859$. The function minimised was $\Sigma w\left(\left|F_{0}\right|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right)^{2}$, where $w=1 / \sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}\right)$.
All calculations were performed using the TEXSAN ${ }^{15}$ crys-


Scheme 1
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tallographic software package. Selected bond distances and angles are given in Tables 2 and 3.
A tomic coordinates, thermal parameters, and bond lengths and angles have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC). See Instructions for Authors, J. C hem. Soc., D alton Trans., 1997, Issue 1. A ny request to the CCDC for this material should quote the full literature citation and the reference number 186/499.

## Physical measurements

Infrared spectra were recorded on a Fourier Nicolet FT-10SX spectrophotometer with pressed K Br pellets, electronic spectra with a H itachi U-3410 spectrophotometer. C arbon and hydrogen analyses were performed on a PE-240C elemental analyser.

## N on-linear optical measurements

TheN LO properties of compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbf{2}}$ were determined by using a standard Z -scan set up with a Q-switched, frequency-doubled Nd:YAG laser. The pulse repetition rate was 10 Hz . The details of the set-up can be found elsewhere. ${ }^{16}$ The solutions were contained in 1 mm thick quartz cells with concentrations of $6.4 \times 10^{-4}$ and $5.4 \times 10^{-4}$ $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}$ for compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$, respectively.

## Results and Discussion

## Synthesis

The compounds were synthesized from $\left[\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{S} \mathrm{S}_{4}\right](\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{M} \mathrm{o}$ or W), $\mathrm{HAuCl}_{4} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution. When [ $\left.\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right]$ was used instead of $\left[\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{S}_{4}\right]$, the same compounds were obtained, as in Scheme 1. The transformation from $\left[\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right]^{2-}$ to $\left[\mathrm{M}_{4}\right]^{2-}$ may take place as in Scheme 2. Therefore, the $\left[\mathrm{M}_{4}\right]^{2-}$ anion reacts with $\left[\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}$to give the products. However, an interesting fact is that $\left[\mathrm{MOOS}_{3}-\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{AuPPh}_{3}\right)\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ ] was synthesized in poor yield by reaction of $\mathrm{Cs}_{2}\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{OOS}_{3}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right]$. ${ }^{17}$

## Structures of $\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathbf{S}_{4}\left(\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ ( $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mol}$ or $\mathbf{W}$ 2)

Figs. 1 and 2 show the crystal structures of compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$, Figs. 3 and 4 the packings of the clusters in the solid state The skeletons, consisting of one $M$, four $\mu-S$ and two Au atoms, show linear structures with crystallographic $C_{2 v}$ symmetry. The $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{Au}$ and $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{Au}$ angles are 178.51(3) and $178.27(2)^{\circ}$, respectively. The M (M o or W) atom has essentially tetrahedral co-ordination and $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{S}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ acts as a tetradentate ligand co-ordinating to two Au atoms through its four $\mu$-S atoms. Each Au atom is co-ordinated by two $\mu$-S atoms and one $\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}$ ligand, forming a planar trigonal geometry.
The $\mathrm{M}^{1} \mathrm{~S}^{2} A u^{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}^{3} \mathrm{~S}^{4} A u^{2}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{M}$ o or W$)$ cores in compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are planar to within 0.0056 ( 0.0083 ) and 0.0125 ( 0.0136 ) $\AA$, respectively. Their dihedral angle is $89.65(89.73)^{\circ}$, which means that they are essentially perpendicular to each other.
There are two types of structures in related linear compounds as depicted in Scheme 3; the main bond lengths are listed in Table 4, which reveals several structural trends. First, in all linear-shaped compounds $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{M}^{\prime}{ }_{2}\left(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mo}\right.$ or $\mathrm{W} ; \mathrm{M}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Cu}$,


Fig. 1 Crystal structure of $\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{oAu}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\left(\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$


Fig. 2 Crystal structure of $\left[\mathrm{WAu}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\left(\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$


Fig. 3 Packing of $\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{OAu}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}(\mathrm{AsPh})_{2}\right.$ ] in the solid state
Ag or Au ), each Au atom in compounds 1, 2, $\mathbf{6}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ is in a trigonal-planar co-ordination; one $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{Ag})$ atom in 3-5 and 7-9 is tetrahedrally co-ordinated and the other is trigonally co-ordinated. However, the co-ordination modes of two Au atoms in the nest-shaped compound $\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{OOS}_{3}\left(\mathrm{AuPPh}_{3}\right)\{\mathrm{Au}-\right.$ $\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ are the same as those observed in linear-shaped $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{W})-\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{Ag})-\mathrm{S}$ cluster compounds. Secondly, the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{S}$ bond lengths of four gold-containing linear compounds are similar to each other. O wing to the influences of the ligands, the Mo-Au, W-Au and Au-S bond lengths are different. The Au-A s bond lengths are, of course, longer than corresponding Au-P distances. The explanation for this fact is that the covalent radius ( $1.21 \AA$ ) of $A s$ is longer than that ( $1.10 \AA$ ) of $P$. Thirdly, the Au-P bond length [2.272(2) $\AA$ for 6 ] trigonally coordinated in $\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{o}^{\prime} \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{M}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Ag}\right.$ or Au ) compounds 3, 4,


Fig. 4 Packing of $\left[\mathrm{WAu}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\left(\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ in the solid state

Table 1 Crystal data and experimental parameters for complexes 1 and 2*

|  | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{OS}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4} \mathrm{~W}$ |
| M | 1230.59 | 1318.50 |
| Crystal size/mm | $0.41 \times 0.20 \times 0.16$ | $0.50 \times 0.41 \times 0.30$ |
| a/Å | 9.580(4) | 9.572(2) |
| b/Å | 10.753(4) | 10.803(2) |
| c/Å | 19.838(8) | 19.816(4) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 88.12(4) | 88.15(1) |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 80.20(4) | 80.30(2) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 67.39(3) | 67.52(2) |
| $U / \AA^{3}$ | 1857(1) | 1865.2(7) |
| T/K | 290.2 | 295.2 |
| D $/ \mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ | 2.200 | 2.348 |
| F (000) | 1152.00 | 1216.00 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{M} \mathrm{o-K} \alpha\right.$ )/cm $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | 102.55 | 129.64 |
| $2 \theta_{\text {max }} /{ }^{\circ}$ | 50.0 | 60.0 |
| Scan speed/ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ | 2.0 | 8.0 |
| N o. observations $[1>1.5 \sigma(1)]$ | 4591 | 7676 |
| R | 0.0299 | 0.0638 |
| R' | 0.0387 | 0.0859 |
| G oodness of fit indicator | 1.108 | 0.935 |
| M aximum, minimum peaks in final difference map/e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.96, -0.71 | 6.85, -5.29 |

* D etails in common: triclinic, space group $P \overline{1} ; Z=2 ; 407$ variables; maximum shift in final cycle 0.00 .
and 6 is between the Cu-P [2.210(5) $\AA$ ] and Ag-P distances [ $2.380(4) \AA$ ] , though atom covalent radii vary as $\mathrm{Au}>\mathrm{Ag}>\mathrm{Cu}$, showing that the Au-P bond is stronger than the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{P}$ and A $g-P$. The same trend is observed in $W-M^{\prime}-S$ compounds 7,8 and 10. Fourthly, $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{M}^{\prime}-\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ bond lengths in tetrahedral co-ordination are longer than those in trigonal coordination in compounds 3-5 and 7-9. H owever, the opposite trend is found in $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{S}$ bond distances.


## NLO properties of $\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\left(\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ (M $=\mathrm{Mol}$ or W 2 )

The similarity in the structures of the two compounds should lead to similar UV/VIS spectra, which is confirmed by Fig. 5. Thered shift in the spectrum of compound $\mathbf{1}$ is expected since it contains one M o atom instead of oneW atom. The first absorption peaks are located at $500(2.48)$ and $410 \mathrm{~nm}(3.02 \mathrm{eV})$ for compounds 1 and 2, respectively. Their Z-scan results are shown in Fig. 6, where the filled and open circles were measured


Scheme 3

Table 2 Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for compound 1

| $\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}$ | 2.7837(7) | $\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{As}(1)$ | 2.3745(8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 2.395(2) | $A u(1)-S(2)$ | 2.392(2) |
| $\mathrm{Au}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}$ | 2.7690 (7) | $\mathrm{Au}(2)-\mathrm{As}(2)$ | $2.3715(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Au}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 2.378(2) | $\mathrm{Au}(2)-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | 2.396(2) |
| $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{O-S}(1)$ | 2.216(2) | Mo -S(2) | 2.213(2) |
| M o-S(3) | 2.214(2) | M O-S(4) | 2.213(2) |
| M o-Au(1)-A s(1) | 172.74(3) | M o-Au(1)-S(1) | 49.97(5) |
| $\mathrm{M} 0-\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 49.93(5) | $\mathrm{As}(1)-\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 126.55(6) |
| $\mathrm{As}(1)-\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 133.10(6) | S(1)-Au(1)-S(2) | 99.89(7) |
| $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{o-Au(2)-A} \mathrm{s(2)}$ | 174.86(3) | $\mathrm{M} 0-\mathrm{Au}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 50.26(6) |
| $\mathrm{M} 0-\mathrm{Au}(2)-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | 50.10(5) | $\mathrm{As}(2)-\mathrm{Au}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 134.31(6) |
| $\mathrm{As}(2)-\mathrm{Au}(2)-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | 125.23(6) | S(3)-Au(2)-S(4) | 100.36(8) |
| $\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{M} \mathrm{o-Au(2)}$ | 178.51(3) | $\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{M} \mathrm{o-S(1)}$ | 55.86(6) |
| $\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{M} \mathrm{O-S}(2)$ | 55.80(6) | $\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{Mo} 0-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 123.44(7) |
| $\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{M} \mathrm{O-S}(4)$ | 124.70(6) | $\mathrm{Au}(2)-\mathrm{M} \mathrm{o-S(1)}$ | 125.34(6) |
| $\mathrm{Au}(2)-\mathrm{M} \mathrm{O-S}(2)$ | 123.01(7) | $\mathrm{Au}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 55.68(6) |
| $\mathrm{Au}(2)-\mathrm{M} \mathrm{O-S}(4)$ | 56.18(6) | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{M} \mathrm{O-S}(2)$ | 111.65(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{M} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 109.07(10) | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{M} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | 108.24(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{M} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 108.13(10) | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | 107.92(10) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{M} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | 111.86(9) | $\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}$ | 74.15(7) |
| $\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}$ | 74.28(7) | $\mathrm{Au}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Mo}$ | 74.06(7) |
| $\mathrm{Au}(2)-\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{M} \mathrm{o}$ | 73.72(7) |  |  |


| Au(1)-W | 2.8103(4) | $\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{As}(1)$ | 2.3733(9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{A} u(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 2.427 (3) | $\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 2.406(3) |
| $\mathrm{Au}(2)-\mathrm{W}$ | 2.7951(4) | $\mathrm{Au}(2)-\mathrm{As}(2)$ | 2.3698(9) |
| $\mathrm{Au}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 2.400(3) | $\mathrm{Au}(2)-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | 2.418(3) |
| W-S(1) | 2.213(2) | W-S(2) | 2.217(2) |
| W-S(3) | 2.213(3) | W-S(4) | 2.218(2) |
| W-Au(1)-As(1) | 172.38(3) | W-Au(1)-S(1) | 49.32(6) |
| W-Au(1)-S(2) | 49.55(6) | $\mathrm{As}(1)-\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 126.65(6) |
| $\mathrm{As}(1)-\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 134.02(6) | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 98.86(8) |
| W-Au(2)-As(2) | 174.63(3) | W-Au(2)-S(3) | 49.72(6) |
| W-Au(2)-S(4) | 49.71(6) | $\mathrm{As}(2)-\mathrm{Au}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 135.12(7) |
| $\mathrm{As}(2)-\mathrm{Au}(2)-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | 125.34(6) | $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Au}(2)-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | 99.42(9) |
| $\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{Au}(2)$ | 178.27(2) | Au(1)-W-S(1) | 56.28(7) |
| $\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 55.70(7) | Au(1)-W-S(3) | 123.15(7) |
| $\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | 124.77(7) | $\mathrm{Au}(2)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 125.11(7) |
| $\mathrm{Au}(2)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 122.93(7) | Au(2)-W-S(3) | 55.81(7) |
| Au(2)-W-S(4) | 56.27(6) | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 111.96(10) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 108.6(1) | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | 108.14(10) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 108.3(1) | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | 107.9(1) |
| S(3)-W-S(4) | 112.08(10) | Au(1)-S(1)-W | 74.40(7) |
| Au(1)-S(2)-W | 74.75(7) | $\mathrm{Au}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{W}$ | 74.47(8) |
| Au(2)-S(4)-W | 74.02(7) |  |  |

with and without the aperture, respectively. To obtain the NLO parameters we employed a Z-scan theory which considers effective non-linearities of third-order nature only: $\alpha=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{2}$ |

Table 4 Comparison of main bond distances $(\AA)^{\text {a }}$

| Compound | M $-S^{\text {b }}$ | M $-\mathrm{M}^{\text {b }}$ | $M^{\prime}-S^{\text {b }}$ | M '-L | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{oAu} \mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\left(\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ | 2.214(2) | $2.7764(7)$ | 2.390(2) | 2.373(8) | This work 18 |
| $3\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{OCu} 2 \mathrm{~S}_{4}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\right] \cdot 0.8 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $2.218(5)$ | 2.642 (3) | 2.220 (5) | 2.210 (5) |  |
|  | 2.198(5)* | 2.775(2)* | 2.313(5)* | 2.303(5)* |  |
| $4\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{oA} \mathrm{g} 2 \mathrm{~S}_{4}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\right] \cdot 0.8 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 2.215(5) | 2.860(2) | 2.459(5) | 2.380(4) | 19 |
|  | 2.195(5)* | 3.030(2)* | 2.572(5)* | 2.471(4)* |  |
| $5\left[\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{oAg}(\mathrm{CuCN}) \mathrm{S}_{4}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ | 2.202(6) | 2.622(3) | 2.209(7) | 1.87(2) | 20 |
|  | 2.189(5)* | 3.075(2)* | 2.584(5)* | 2.484(5)* |  |
| $6\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{OAu}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ | 2.214(2) | 2.810(1) | 2.405(2) | 2.272(2) | 17 This work |
| $2\left[\mathrm{WAu}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\left(\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ | 2.215(2) | 2.8027(4) | 2.413(3) | 2.372(9) |  |
| $7\left[\mathrm{WCu}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\right] \cdot 0.8 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 2.224(8) | 2.670(3) | 2.232(9) | 2.209(8) | 19 |
|  | 2.204(3)* | 2.809(3)* | 2.333(3)* | 2.307(8)* |  |
| $8\left[\mathrm{WA} \mathrm{g}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\right] \cdot 0.8 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 2.219(1) | 2.886(2) | 2.476 (6) | $3.362(5)$ | 19 |
|  | 2.195(5)* | 3.056(2)* | 2.579(5)* | 2.460(1)* |  |
| $9\left[\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{WA} \mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{CuCN} \mathrm{S}_{4}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\right.$ | $2.202(5)$ | $2.638(3)$ | 2.219(6) | 1.82(2) | 21 |
|  | 2.189(5)* | 3.099(2)* | 2.596(5)* | 2.479(5)* |  |
| $10\left[\mathrm{WAu}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\left(\mathrm{PM} \mathrm{ePh}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$ | 2.219(3) | 2.841(1) | 2.429(3) | 2.268(3) | 22 |
| $11\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{OOS}_{3}\left(\mathrm{AuPPh}_{3}\right)\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ | 2.261(2) | 2.838(1) | 2.419(2) | 2.277(2) | 17 |
|  | 2.241(2)* | 3.133(1)* | 2.644(2)* | 2.325(2)* |  |

${ }^{a} M=M$ o or $W ; M^{\prime}=C u, A g$ or $A u .{ }^{b}$ Average values. * The starred bond lengths are those when the Cu or Ag has tetrahedral co-ordination and the S or P atom is bonded to the Cu or Ag .


Fig. 5 Electronic spectra of $\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{oAu}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\left(\mathrm{~A} \mathrm{sPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left(9.6 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}\right)$ $(--)$ and $\left[\mathrm{WAu}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\left(\mathrm{~A} \mathrm{sPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left(4.2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol}^{2} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}\right)(--)$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ Optical path 1 cm
and $n=n_{0}+n_{2}$ I, where $\alpha, \alpha_{0}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are the total, linear and non-linear absorption coefficients, $n, n_{0}$ and $n_{2}$ the total, linear and non-linear refractive indices and $I$ is the light irradiance The details of the theory can be found elsewhere ${ }^{16}$ The good fits between the theory and the Z-scan data suggest that the observed non-linearities can be expressed effectively by thirdorder susceptibilities. The values of $\alpha_{2}$ and $n_{2}$ extracted from the best fits are listed in Table 5. The modulus of the third-order molecular susceptibility was calculated from equation (1) where

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\gamma|=\frac{1}{N F^{4}} \sqrt{\left(\frac{9 \times 10^{8} \varepsilon_{0} n_{0}^{2} c^{2} \alpha_{2}}{4 \pi \omega}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{c n_{0}^{2} n_{2}}{80 \pi^{2}}\right)^{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\varepsilon_{0}$ and $c$ are the permittivity and the speed of light in a vacuum, respectively, $\omega$ is the angular frequency of the light, $N$ the compound concentration, and $\mathrm{F}^{4}$ the local Lorentz field. In this expression all the units are SI except that N is in $\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ and $|\gamma|$ is in esu. A ssuming that $\mathrm{F}^{4}=3$, we calculate that $|\gamma|=3.0 \times 10^{-29}$ and $6.5 \times 10^{-29}$ esu (esu $=7.162 \times 10^{13} \mathrm{~m}^{5} \mathrm{v}^{-2}$ ) for compounds 1 and $\mathbf{2}$, respectively. N ote that such a large $\gamma$ value is measured in the transparent region for compound $\mathbf{2}$, and is several orders of magnitude greater than those in well known NLO materials in the transparent part of their spectra (for example: $5.6 \times 10^{-35}$


Fig. 6 Z Scans of $\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{OAu}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\left(\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left(6.4 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}\right)$ and $\left[\mathrm{WAu}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\left(\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left(5.4 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}\right)$ with $532 \mathrm{~nm}, 7 \mathrm{~ns}$ laser pulses. Optical path 1 mm . Incident energy of pulses 20 mJ . Transmittance of the aperture 0.34. The experimental data were measured with $(\circlearrowleft)$ and without ( $O$ ) the aperture, respectively. The solid curves represent fits based on Z-scan theory. The Z scans of $\left[\mathrm{WAu}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\left(\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ have been vertically displaced by 0.4 for clarity
$8.6 \times 10^{-34}$ esu for Group 10 metal alkynyl polymers at 1064 $\mathrm{nm},^{23,24} 1 \times 10^{-32}-1 \times 10^{-31}$ esu for metallophthalocyanines at $1064 \mathrm{~nm}^{25}$ and $7.5 \times 10^{-34}$ esu for $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ at 1910 nm ). ${ }^{26}$ It is also interesting to compare these two new compounds with clusters that we have previously reported. Table 5 shows that compound $\mathbf{2}$ compares favourably with all the clusters in terms of figures of merit, $\alpha_{2} / \alpha_{0}$ and $n_{2} / \alpha_{0}$.
It should be emphasized that the $Z$ scans reported here could not reveal the origins of the observed non-linearities. Excitedstate absorption and non-linear scattering are possible for the measured absorptive non-linearity. The change in the sign of the measured refractive non-linearity may give a hint as to the cause of the non-linear refraction. The signs of refractive nonlinearities for all the clusters, listed in Table 5, show that $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ alters from positive to negative as the ratio of the photon energy ( $h \omega$ ) to that of the first absorption peak ( $h \omega_{0}$ ) approaches $1: 1$. The turning point is located at around ho/ $h \omega_{0} \approx 0.8: 1$, which is consistent with a recently developed theory on bound-electronic effects. ${ }^{27}$

Table 5 NLO Parameters for clusters measured at photon energy $h \omega=2.33 \mathrm{eV}$

| Cluster | $\mathrm{h} \omega_{0} / \mathrm{eV}$ | $h \omega / h \omega_{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10^{-3} \\ & \alpha_{0} / \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \\ & \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10^{5} \\ & \alpha_{2} / \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \mathrm{~W}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10^{10} \\ & \mathrm{n}_{2} / \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \\ & \mathrm{~W}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10^{8} \\ & \alpha_{2} \alpha_{0}-1 / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \\ & \mathrm{~W}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10^{3} \\ & \left\|n_{2} / \alpha_{0}\right\| / \mathrm{cm}^{3} \\ & W^{-1} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\mathrm{WCu}_{2} \mathrm{OS}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]^{\text {a }}$ | 4.85 | 0.48 | 2.5 | $\approx 0$ | 6.7 | $\approx 0$ | 2.7 |
| $\left[\mathrm{MoCu} 2 \mathrm{OS}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]^{\text {a }}$ | 4.80 | 0.49 | 15 | 35 | 68 | 2.3 | 4.5 |
| $\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{8}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 4.75 | 0.49 | 6.4 | 100 | 120 | 16 | 19 |
| $\left[\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right]_{3}\left[\mathrm{WOS}_{3}(\mathrm{CuBr})_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{Br})\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 3.55 | 0.66 | 5.3 | 6.6 | 12 | 1.2 | 2.3 |
| $\left[\mathrm{WAu}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\left(\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{\text {d }}$ | 3.02 | 0.77 | 0.44 | 13 | 19 | 29 | 42 |
| $\left[\mathrm{NBu}_{4}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{OCu}_{3} \mathrm{OS}_{3}(\mathrm{NCS})_{3}\right]^{\mathrm{e}}$ | 2.50 | 0.93 | 1.2 | 0.18 | -1.7 | 0.15 | 1.4 |
| $\left[\mathrm{MoAu} \mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\left(\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{\text {d }}$ | 2.48 | 0.94 | 4.5 | 7.9 | -8.0 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{NBu}_{4}\right]_{4}\left[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Cu}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{24}\right]^{\dagger}$ | 2.43 | 0.96 | 7.5 | 28 | -23 | 3.7 | 3.1 |

${ }^{a}$ Ref. 5. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Ref. 9. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Ref. 7. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ This work. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{R}$ ef. 3. ${ }^{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{R}$ ef. 4.
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[^0]:    $\dagger$ Non-SI unit employed: eV $\approx 1.60 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$.

